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1.0 INTRODUCTION

PEAK LAND MANAGEMENT PTY LTD has been engaged by ACM Landmark on behalf of MBK Holdings Pty Ltd to prepare a Bushfire Threat Assessment Report for a proposed Seniors Living Development over land located over part Lot 141 DP 1225076 & Lot 8 DP 855275 Mount Vincent Road & Wilton Drive, East Maitland (referred to hereafter as “subject site”).

Figures 1-6 show the subject site location, Seniors Living site plan, and Appendix 1 shows photos of the subject site.

The subject site is zoned R1 – General Residential.

Under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979 (and its regulations), and the Rural Fires Act 1997 (and its regulations), councils/DPE are required to assess and control new developments in Bushfire Prone Areas. This land has been assessed as being part of a Bushfire Prone Area (Fig.7) and is therefore subject to this legislation.

This development (Seniors Living) falls under Section 100B of the Rural Fires Act 1997 (and its regulations) and will require integrated development approval/ Bushfire Safety Authority from the Rural Fire Service. PBP 2006 states that Seniors Living Development is classed as Special Fire Protection Development. It should have required Asset Protection Zones, adequate access, water, and services as stated under the Act. This report demonstrates that the subject site can accommodate these requirements, and conform to other relevant standards including the Building Code of Australia (BCA) which calls up AS 3959-2009.
Figure 1: Aerial photo showing subject site (imagery from Lands Department). North to top of all images.
Figure 2: Aerial photo (more detailed) showing subject site (imagery from Lands Department). North to top of all images.
Figure 3: Topographic map showing subject site (imagery from Lands Department)
Figure 4: Senior Living conceptual site plan (from ACM Landmark, dated March 2017)
Figure 5: Seniors Living conceptual site plan in more detail (from ACM Landmark, March, 2017)
Figure 6: Vegetation types over/near subject site (imagery from Lands Department)
Figure 7: Bushfire Prone Land Map (from Maitland City Council, 2015)
2.0 SITE DESCRIPTION AND SURROUNDING LANDUSE

The proposed Seniors Living development concept development is shown in Figures 4-5. The site is vegetated over its eastern half, and the remainder is currently cleared, and used for cattle grazing. The site is around 26.5Ha in extent.

The site is located in a rural area, on the edge of East Maitland residential area. A rural residential subdivision is located to the north. Forest occurs to the east, and the newly constructed Lower Hunter Rural Fire Service Control Centre to the south-east. The rural land to the south and west is grazed.

3.0 VEGETATION

The hazardous vegetation type within 140m is Forest, and unmanaged Grassland. These vegetation types are classified according to PBP 2006.

Dry sclerophyll forest occurs over the eastern part of the subject site as shown in Figure 6. Photos are shown in Appendix 1. Trees are up to 20m in height, with a shrub understorey. Land to the west and south of the proposed Seniors Living footprint is grassed and disturbed, being assessed as Grassland (unmanaged). Land to the north of the subject site is private residential land & managed curtilage, and not assessed as a hazard.

Note scope exists to retain larger habitat trees/environmentally high value trees within Asset Protection Zones, with an outer protection area shown where all trees can be retained.

4.0 SLOPE

Slope assessment has been carried out around the subject site under hazardous vegetation out to 100 metres as specified under the Guidelines Assessment Procedure. The angles have been measured in the field by an inclinometer. Table 1 shows the results. Figure 3 shows a topographic map with 10m contours of the subject site and surrounds.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL FEATURES

All forest/woodland/scattered trees with native understorey over the subject site, is considered to be an Endangered Ecological Community – Lower Hunter Spotted Gum Ironbark Forest.

An ecological assessment has been undertaken by Ecological Australia which was not available at time of writing. All forested vegetation including larger hollow bearing habitat trees over the nominated Asset Protection Zone area as shown in Figures 4 & 5 will be retained over the site, and all other vegetation within the forested area over the eastern portion of the subject site retained. The road to the carpark has been designed to avoid impact over larger trees.

There is therefore considered to be low environmental impact.
6.0 ABORIGINAL FEATURES

ACM Landmark state: “An Aboriginal Heritage Information Management System (AHIMS) Search has been conducted which has revealed that no Aboriginal sites or places have been declared/recorded within or near the site”. The site is located in a rural area with a history of rural land use, and is almost completely cleared where the development is proposed.

7.0 BUSHFIRE ASSESSMENT

The legislation as it relates to this site calls for asset protection zones (APZ) to be established around the proposed development, provision of adequate access, design staging and citing of the development and provision of appropriate water supply for bushfire fighting purposes.

5.1 Setbacks including asset protection zones

Table 1 shows the bushfire threat assessment for the subject site, including Asset Protection Zone location. In this case a 70m Asset Protection Zone has been adopted, comprising a 20m Outer Protection Area, and 50m Inner Protection Area.

Rural Fire Service Standards for Asset Protection Zones, 2014 states: An Asset Protection Zone (APZ) is a fuel reduced area surrounding a built asset or structure. This can include any residential building or major building such as farm and machinery sheds, or industrial, commercial or heritage buildings.

An APZ provides:
- a buffer zone between a bush fire hazard and an asset;
- an area of reduced bush fire fuel that allows suppression of fire;
- an area from which backburning may be conducted; and
- an area which allows emergency services access and provides a relatively safe area for firefighters and home owners to defend their property.
- The removal of significant native species should be avoided.

Potential bush fire fuels should be minimised within an APZ. This is so that the vegetation within the planned zone does not provide a path for the transfer of fire to the asset either from the ground level or through the tree canopy. The APZ should be managed as a mix of an Inner Protection Area Asset Protection Zone and Outer APZ (as defined in PBP 2006) over the proponents land.

PBP 2006 states:

The IPA should provide a tree canopy of <15% and should consist of mown grass <100mm long, concrete, pavers, pebbles, small clumps of garden vegetation, isolated trees, etc. Lawns and garden should be maintained (see Landscape guidelines PBP 2006 Appendix 5) so that they do not become overgrown, vegetation does not grow over or touch dwellings, and canopy of trees do not touch or become continuous with the surrounding bushland (at least 2 metres between tree canopies).
The Outer APZ should provide a tree canopy cover of less than 30% and should have understorey managed (mowed) to treat all shrubs and grasses on an annual basis in advance of the fire season (usually September).

Wood piles, wooden sheds, combustible material storage areas, larger areas/ quantities of garden mulch, stacked flammable building materials; etc should not be permitted in the Inner Protection Area.


Table 1: Bushfire Threat Site Assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIRECTION TO BUSHFIRE HAZARD</th>
<th>MOST SIGNIFICANT GRADIENT</th>
<th>PREDOMINANT VEGETATION TYPE WITHIN 140m as per PBP 2006</th>
<th>Distance to hazard (from nearest Seniors residential building)</th>
<th>Required minimum Asset Protection Zone (Table A2.6 PBP,2006)- IPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>South</td>
<td>0-5º downslope</td>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>Needs to be min. 10m from hazard</td>
<td>22m to achieve BAL 12.5 under AS 3959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West</td>
<td>0-5º downslope</td>
<td>Grassland</td>
<td>Needs to be min. 10m from hazard</td>
<td>22m to achieve BAL 12.5 under AS 3959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East</td>
<td>0-5º upslope</td>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>Needs to be min. 60m</td>
<td>60m – incld 20m OPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South-east</td>
<td>0-5º downslope</td>
<td>Forest</td>
<td>Needs to be min. 70m</td>
<td>70m – incld 20m OPA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: BAL refers to the maximum bushfire attack level expressed in kW/m² radiant heat flux exposure for the given slope, distance to hazard, and type of hazard (ie vegetation type and fuel load).

IPA- Inner Protection Area
OPA- Outer Protection Area

It is noted that provision has been made for a 70m setback to the east, which allows for any sideslope downslopes to dwellings built further upslope to the north, and 22m APZ’s to the south and west.

PBP 2006 makes no recommendations for Asset Protection Zones over Grassland, however PBP 2006 and Rural Fire Service state all Special Fire Protection Development requires radiant heat levels of <10kw/m² for emergency workers aiding residents, and ember protection is preferred over dwellings within 100m of the hazard. Grassland is recognised under AS 3959. In this case unmanaged Grassland is present (although it is grazed), and therefore required setbacks to achieve BAL 12.5 under AS 3959 have been used within this report, as there is no recommended setback in either PBP 2006 or AS 3959 to achieve BAL 10 (Grassland).

No habitable dwellings should be constructed or approved within Asset Protection Zones. The Asset Protection Zone has been delineated on all plans (Fig’s 4 & 5), and a covenant or similar legal encumbrance will be placed over the land clearly showing its location and restriction upon
title of land. Arrangements should be in place to maintain it in perpetuity (while there is a hazard present).

### 7.2 Water supplies and utilities

The subject site would be serviced by reticulated town water supplies, and will have fire hydrants. There are currently water mains and hydrants located over Mt Vincent Road and Wilton Drive.

The proposal will provide adequate reticulated water supply, and fire hydrants to be provided in accordance with PBP 2006 (Sect. 4.2.7) & AS 2419.1-2005, including blue cats eyes markers and signage. It is assumed that other fire fighting water supply standards under the BCA/Australian Standards would also be required such as hose reels, etc for the Community Centre.

The development would be serviced by underground electricity, in accordance with PBP 2006 Sect 4.2.7.

### 7.3 Access

The proposed development would be accessed from Wilton Drive through the existing Lot 8 from the residential subdivision to the north, which joins with Mt Vincent Rd, a major sealed two way through road.

All internal roads will conform to PBP 2006, Section 4.2.7. In particular perimeter road widths should be a minimum 8m wide kerb to kerb, with shoulders, to allow two way access/egress amongst other requirements. Other internal roads should be through roads, and meet other requirements as per PBP 2006, Sect. 4.2.7.

### 7.4 Construction standards

Proposed habitable dwellings within 100m of the hazard should be constructed to BAL 12.5, as recommended by PBP 2006. BAL 12.5 requirements are detailed under AS 3959-2009, & PBP, 2010 Amended Appendix 3 (on Rural Fire Service web site) which overrides and amends certain parts of AS 3959.

### 7.5 Other fire protection measures

Recommendations are made below to address further bushfire protection measures.

### 8.0 BUSHFIRE RECOMMENDATIONS

In order to gain development approval for the proposal the following information should be considered:

- Adequate reticulated water supply, underground power, and good access from public roads to be provided in a rapidly expanding urban area.
- An Asset Protection Zone has been provided.
Proposed dwellings will be surrounded by a managed Asset Protection Zone, and dwellings constructed in accordance with PBP 2006 & AS 3959, being BAL 12.5 or BAL LOW dependant on dwelling location.

The following recommendations are made:

- **Construction standards:** The proposed dwellings within 100m of a hazard (or 50m from unmanaged Grassland) would be required to be constructed to conform to BAL 12.5 (ie <10kw radiant heat load) or lower under PBP, 2006, and PBP, 2010 Amended Appendix 3 (on Rural Fire Service web site) which overrides and amends certain parts of AS 3959.

- **Asset Protection Zone:** An Asset Protection Zone should be provided to the distances shown in Table 1, and shown over the site plan (Fig 4 & 5). There is sufficient land over the site to provide Asset Protection Zone for this development.

- **Electricity, water and gas services** should conform to PBP 2006 (Sect 4.2.7 – special fire protection development- services).

- **Public access roads and internal roads** should conform to PBP 2006 Sect 4.2.7- Public Roads, being at least 8m wide kerb to kerb for perimeter roads, sealed all weather roads, and other conditions as outlined in PBP 2006.

The bushfire risk can be adequately managed through the recommendations made above, and in conjunction with any recommendations from the Rural Fire Service/ Council should be considered for approval.

Report prepared by:

Ted Smith BSc (Hons) Grad Dip. Accredited Bushfire Practitioner -FPA Australia 17671
PEAK LAND MANAGEMENT PTY LTD

**DISCLAIMER:** Whilst every effort is made to present clear and factual information based on fieldwork and current legislation no guarantee is made that the development or its occupants are safe from bushfire, or will be approved, as this is in the hands of the approving statutory authorities/certifier. No warranty or guarantee, whether expressed or implied, is made with respect to the observations, information, findings and inclusions expressed within this report. No liability is accepted for losses, expenses or damages occurring as a result of information presented in this document.
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APPENDIX 1: PHOTOS OF SITE AND SURROUNDS

Residential subdivision/Wilton Drive to north of subject site.

Forest hazard to east predominantly upslope of proposal
Subject site

Grassland to south of subject site
Grassland to south-west

Mount Vincent Rd looking south - subject site to right of frame
Managed land to south of subject site over Maitland City Council Waste Management Centre

Looking north from subject site towards Wilton Drive. These trees over proposed Seniors Site to be cleared STCA